

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SHERMAN DIVISION

8975 Licensing LLC, a Texas Limited
Liability Company,

Plaintiff,

v.

MasterCare Software, LLC, a California
Limited Liability Company,

Defendant.

Case No. 4:15-cv-00823

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff 8975 Licensing LLC (“Plaintiff” or “8975 Licensing”) complains as follows:

NATURE OF ACTION

1. This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 100 *et seq.*

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) in that it arises under the United States Patent Laws.

3. Defendant MasterCare Software LLC (“Defendant” or “MasterCare”) is subject to this Court’s specific personal jurisdiction because Defendant advertises throughout the United States and in this Judicial District, Defendant’s Infringing Web Application is available to access through the internet in this Judicial District, and Defendant has caused injury to Plaintiff within this Judicial District by virtue of the acts of patent infringement that are described herein.

4. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c), and 1400(b). Defendant is transacting, conducting/soliciting business, and committing acts of patent infringement in this Judicial District and elsewhere in the United States.

THE PARTIES

5. Plaintiff 8975 Licensing LLC is a Texas Limited Liability Company with its principal place of business in Plano, Texas. 8975 Licensing LLC is in the business of developing, managing and licensing intellectual property related to advanced internet (web) architecture, including related patents and other intellectual property. 8975 Licensing LLC is the exclusive licensee possessing all substantial right, title and interest to a patent issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office for an invention relating to the use and display of data dynamically within a website or web application. The Patent is U.S. Patent No. 9,128,975 B2 (The ‘975 Patent). A copy of the ‘975 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

6. Plaintiff does not make, offer for sale, or sell within the United States any article covered by the patents asserted in this action, nor does Plaintiff import any article covered by the patents asserted in this action into the United States. Accordingly, Plaintiff has complied with 35 USC § 287.

7. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a California Limited Liability Company with headquarters and principal place of business at 143 Triunfo Canyon Road, Suite 221, Westlake Village, CA 91361. Defendant has been selling and offering to sell its infringing product (web application) within the United States, and within this District, all without consent from Plaintiff. Defendant’s infringing product/application includes, but is not limited to, MasterCare Software Billing and Charting “cloud-based” patient data management application (the “Accused Product”).

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Infringement of the ‘975 Patent)

8. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges each of the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-7 above.

9. On September 8, 2015, U.S. Patent No. 9,128,975 B2 (the ‘975 Patent), entitled “Systems and Methods for Determining Data Dependency for Dynamic Tiles,” was issued for the invention of a novel method to solve the problem of conventional user interfaces that access one or more databases, but return only static data based upon a user’s inputs.

10. Anthony L. Leto is the sole-inventor of the ‘975 Patent. 8975 Licensing is the exclusive licensee possessing all substantial right, title and interest to the ‘975 Patent, including the right to sue for infringement. Accordingly, Plaintiffs have standing to sue for infringement of the ‘975 patent.

11. Upon information and belief, Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims, including at least Claim 1, of the ‘975 patent by making, using, importing, selling, and/or offering for sale and use a web-based MasterCare billing and charting application, the Accused Product, which are covered by one or more claims of the ‘975 patent. Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe the ‘975 patent either directly or through acts of contributory infringement or inducement in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.

12. Upon information and belief, MasterCare has been and now is directly infringing one or more claims of the ‘975 Patent, including at least Claim 1 of the ‘975 Patent, in this judicial District and elsewhere in the United States, by, among other things, practicing a method to display data dynamically dependent upon a user’s input into MasterCare’s billing and charting application, the Accused Product.

13. Upon information and belief, Defendant's sale, offers to sell, and/or use of the Accused Product, including, without limitation, MasterCare software, and any similar products, infringes at least Claim 1 of the '975 Patent because the Accused Product allows for the user input to select a patient in one field and dynamically refresh and present that patient's data in another field(s).

14. Upon information and belief, MasterCare induces its customers to use the web application to operate aspects of their businesses by allowing them to use the Accused Product that embodies the patented invention. Thus, MasterCare has induced its customers to infringe the '975 Patent literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents.

15. Plaintiff, as the proximate result of Defendant's patent infringement, has suffered and, if Defendant is not enjoined, will continue to suffer irreparable harm, for which Plaintiff has no adequate legal remedy.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Therefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment:

1. That Defendant has infringed the '975 Patent;
2. That Plaintiff be awarded damages from patent infringement according to proof as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
3. Permanently enjoining Defendant and all others acting in concert with Defendant from infringing the '975 Patent without permission or license from Plaintiff;
4. That the Court declare this to be an exceptional case pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285, and award reasonable attorney's fees;
5. That Plaintiff be awarded its costs of suit, and pre- and post-judgment interest on any money judgment; and
6. For such other relief as the Court deems proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

8975 Licensing LLC, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

Dated: December 3, 2015.

SMITH & LETO

/s/ Margaret A. Smith

Margaret A. Smith (Cal. Bar No. 123428)

Anthony L. Leto (Cal. Bar No. 148584)

P.O. Box 310

Los Gatos, CA 95031

Tel: (408) 827-3839

Fax: (408) 317-0377

E-mail: patentlitigation@smithandleto.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff 8975 Licensing LLC